

ScienceDirect



Learning and memory in *Octopus vulgaris*: a case of biological plasticity

Ilaria Zarrella¹, Giovanna Ponte^{1,2}, Elena Baldascino¹ and Graziano Fiorito¹



Here we concisely summarize major aspects of the learning capabilities of the cephalopod mollusc *Octopus vulgaris*, a solitary living marine invertebrate. We aim to provide a backdrop against which neurobiology of these animals can be further interpreted and thus soliciting further interest for one of the most advanced members of invertebrate animals.

Addresses

¹ Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Villa Comunale, Napoli, Italy

² Association for Cephalopod Research 'CephRes', Italy

Corresponding author: Fiorito, Graziano (graziano.fiorito@szn.it)

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2015, 35:74-79

This review comes from a themed issue on Circuit plasticity and memory

Edited by Thomas Mrsic-Flogel and Alessandro Treves

For a complete overview see the Issue and the Editorial

Available online 14th July 2015

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2015.06.012

0959-4388/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The octopus: a 'model' of the brain

About fifty years ago an English zoologist and neuroanatomist, John Zachary Young, published 'A model of the brain' [1]. The book is an account of decades of studies on predatory responses and learning abilities of several species of cephalopods, mainly the common octopus, *Octopus vulgaris*. In its aim, J.Z. Young tried to answer to the question 'how do brains work'. He adopted the approach utilized by 'communication engineers' and cybernetics: the 'brain' is acting as the computer of a homeostat [1].

In the model, a mnemon (i.e. a visual/tactile feature with associated memory value resulting from experience; [2,3**]) is activated by a given input (visual and/or chemo-tactile) to a specific set of classifying cells and switched on/off on the basis of other inputs that depend on the taste-pain circuits. The output of these units is summed up to produce an overall attack strength (i.e. predatory response), in contrast to the opposite inputs that build a retreat. These 'strengths' are combined to determine the final attack/retreat responses.

The 'model' is the result of hundreds of experiments where the predatory response of O. vulgaris has been dissected to deciphering its neural control ([4]; for review see also: $[5,6^{\bullet\bullet},7,8,9,10^{\bullet},11,12,13]$). It is noteworthy to mention that in several occasions the 'model' found its cybernetic application [14-16]. The mnemon model developed by Clymer [14] is based on a visual feature with associated memory value resulting from experience that is activated by a given visual input to a specific set of classifying cells and switched on/off on the basis of other inputs that depend on the taste-pain circuits. The output of these units, corresponding to the attack command, is further summed-up to produce an overall attack strength, in contrast to the opposite units (retreat command) that in a similar way build an overall retreat strength. These values, or strengths, are then combined and determine the final attack/retreat response [14]. Interestingly, the results produced by Clymer's model are comparable to those obtained from proper experiments with live animals, including the responses resulting from short- and long-term changes in behavior and interference on learning performance when spacing between trials is reduced in time [14]. In a similar way, Myers developed a modified 'cybernetic circuit' based on octopus' mnemon taking into account findings on neural networks and learning in simulated environments [15].

The octopus: a cephalopod

The common octopus is one of the most famous representatives of the class Cephalopoda (i.e. nautilus, cuttlefish, squid and octopus), a numerically small but ecologically and scientifically significant taxon of invertebrates belonging to the phylum Mollusca. The richness of behavioral capabilities of these animals fascinates human beings since the antiquity [6**,17]. Together with other cephalopod species, octopuses also represent a very important resource for human consumption [18].

The class Cephalopoda includes about 700 exclusively marine-living species considered to have rivaled fishes during evolution [19**,20*]. Cephalopods demonstrate a refined and extraordinary ability to adapt their morphology and behavioral repertoire to their living environment [6**,20,21]. Examples among many are: (i) special locomotion including fast jet propulsion, bipedal and tiptoeing [22–24]; (ii) active changes of body patterning achieving crypsis, polyphenism, mimicry and communication including hidden channels [5,25–27]; (iii) special

physiological, neural and behavioral characteristics acquired during evolution (e.g. [19**]). The extraordinary adaptive/plasticity of their physiology and behavior may have contributed greatly to their success [6°,28,29].

Cephalopods are also well known amongst neuroscientists for their contribution to fundamental understanding of the nervous system functioning [3°,30,31,32,33°]. These animals are also emerging models for biology, genomics, neuroscience, cognition and robotics [34°,35,36,37,38].

The octopus: a regulated 'laboratory animal'

Octopuses and their allies have been included from 1st January 2013 in the Directive 2010/63/EU that regulates the use of animals for scientific purposes [39,40]. As a consequence, the invertebrate research in the EU experienced a paradigm shift. In fact, the Directive covers the use of 'live cephalopods' (i.e. hatched juveniles and adults) in the legislation regulating experimental procedures likely to cause pain, suffering, distress or lasting harm [41°,42°]. Under the Directive 2010/63/EU in all Member States cephalopods have the same legal status as vertebrates in relation to their experimental use in research, testing and education [41°,42°,43,44,45]. The outstanding position of cephalopods among the list of regulated animals derives from the assumptions (see Table 1) advocated by the EFSA Panel at the time of the revision of the former EU regulatory document [46,47].

Directive 2010/63/EU is a milestone for invertebrate research because it is the first time particular types of research involving an entire class of invertebrates are regulated in the same way as scientific projects involving vertebrates. As reviewed by Fiorito [42°], although regulation presents obvious challenges, there are several areas where neurophysiological and behavioral neuroscience research is required to address key questions. Current efforts at the international scale are provided with the aim to strengthen the scientific community, and to facilitate the dissemination and adoption of a consensus on the best practices. Such important approach may result in an international coordination of research projects and in facilitating the growth of a 'network for improvement of cephalopod welfare and husbandry in research, aquaculture and fisheries' (CephsInAction; COST Action FA1301: http://www.cost.eu/COST_Actions/fa/Actions/ FA1301).

The octopus: a learning animal

Learning and memory appear to occur in all cephalopod species and has been studied with various details in some key species such as the cuttlefish and the octopus (review for example in $[6^{\bullet\bullet},48^{\bullet}]$). This is considered to be one of the most advanced examples of behavioral plasticity among invertebrates [6°,21,49°]. Sophisticated behavioral repertoire (e.g. individual and social learning, behavioral syndromes, problem solving, communication through hidden channels) and its plasticity parallel those of higher vertebrates; these are related with a highly sophisticated nervous system that-despite the molluscan design-achieves vertebrate-like functional complexity. The neural system organization seems to be also correlated with species-specific lifestyle [20°,50,51]. Finally, the flexibility of the behavioral repertoire of cephalopods is supported by evident cellular and synaptic plasticity at the level of the central and peripheral nervous system, and of the neuromuscular junctions (review in [32°]).

As mentioned above, learning has been studied for decades in the octopus ($[6^{\bullet\bullet}]$; for review see for example $[52^{\bullet}]$).

a	h	le	1

Summary of judgments on the capacity of experience of pain and distress in cephalopods as assessed by the Scientific Panel on Animal Health and Welfare on the Revision of the Directive 2010/63/EU. These assumptions are based on several scientific contributions [44] and extended by recent works (for review see [42*]). References included in the Notes are provided as further support to the statements provided. Most of the data provided herein comes from octopus and in some cases from cuttlefish.

Criterion	Judgement	Notes
Higher brain centres	YES	e.g. Vertical lobe in octopus is considered comparable to limbic lobe [52°,70].
(c.f. cerebral cortex)		Studies are in progress investigating self-awareness and consciousness ([21,35**]; e.g.: [71,72])
Presence of nociceptors	Likely (but not proven)	Neurophysiological afferent recording studies in progress
Nociceptors project to	Likely	Require development of in vivo brain recording techniques
higher neural centres	(but not proven)	(but see: [73,74,75])
Behavioral responses	YES	Avoidance of electric shock and other noxious (not necessarily painful stimuli ([57];e.g. [66]).
Receptors for opioids	Likely	Enk-like peptides, peripheral δ receptors; Opioid growth factor receptor-like
found in the nervous system	(very limited data)	protein 1 [*] ; Kappa-type opioid receptor [*] . No direct 'pain' studies are currently available.
Action of analgesics	Not studied	Requires investigation and objective criteria, plus studies on drug delivery

Gene sequences identified in G. Fiorito laboratory at the Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn (Italy) by assembling and annotating O. vulgaris transcripts coming from several sources (RNA-seq experiments and [76°,77,78]).

Various forms of learning have been demonstrated in cephalopods, from simple sensitization, to associative learning and problem solving, to more complex forms such as spatial and social learning and tool use (review in [6**]). In essence, a large number of the entities proposed by Moore [53**] in his cladogram of learning processes have been shown (e.g. habituation, classical and instrumental conditioning, associative and spatial learning, perceptual processes in visual learning [6**]) in some cephalopod species. Associative learning paradigms have been used in laboratory experiments of cephalopod learning. In O. vulgaris, consolidated long-term memory is controlled by the vertical lobe (a lobe in the brain [54,55°]), while short-term memory is stored in more distributed neural networks, a vertebrate-like pattern of separate memory storage sites [56°,57].

Learning can be also critical to the survival of juvenile forms [58-60]. Vicarious learning, i.e. the capability of learning from conspecifics, has been shown for O. vulgaris in the laboratory [61°] and appears to be somehow modulated by neural centers such as the vertical lobe [62]. Despite criticisms proposed to the original finding, the capability of learning from others is documented in octopuses and also recently in other cephalopod species [63°]. Octopuses and cuttlefishes can demonstrate conditional learning [64,65]. As reviewed by Huffard [49°], male octopuses in the wild exhibit mating tactics consistent with their size-based chances of winning agonistic contests, suggesting learning of rank followed by conditional use of mate guarding. In these field observations, mate guarding is not exhibited by small males and thus unlikely to win contests in the local population. By contrast, males appear to mate, guard and maximize mating opportunities only if they are large enough to win contests with other nearby individuals.

Table 2 summarizes several training paradigms successfully utilized with O. vulgaris to test learning and memory recall capabilities in this species (for review see also: [6°°,21]).

Some of these have been also applied in learning studies aimed to decipher the biological machinery involved in the modulation of O. vulgaris behavioral plasticity [66]. In particular, the relationship between learning processes and gene expression in octopus has been evaluated by analyzing changes of some genes (e.g.: stathmin: Ovstm, tyrosine hydroxylase: Ov-TH, dopamine transporter: Ovdat, octopressin: Ov-OP, cephalotocin: Ov-CT) in response to fear conditioning (learned fear) and social interaction (innate fear). A differential pattern on down-regulation and up-regulation of gene expression in different regions of the octopus central nervous system resulted in these studies as a consequence of either innate or learned fear.

Training paradigms utilized with <i>Octopus vulgaris</i> to asses learning and memory recall capabilities [6**,49*,51,52*,57,65,79,80]			
	Training paradigm and/or Stimuli		
Habituation	e.g. jar		
Sensitization	Artificial and natural prey items		
Classical	Plastic spheres having different		
conditioning	brightness as stimuli;		
	discriminanda differing in		
	orientation, brightness, size or		
	shape (i.e. rectangle, circle,		
	square, diamond);		
	barrel-shaped objects		
Avoidance learning	Discriminanda having several shapes including plastic spheres		
Spatial learning	Mazes		
Problem solving	Mazes, jar and boxes with simple and multiple openings		
Social learning	Discriminanda, problem solving		
Perceptual	Discriminanda that differed in		
processes	orientation, brightness, roughness, size or shape (rectangle, circle, square, diamond)		

For example, in response to learned fear an increase of the expression of stathmin and Ov-TH was observed, while Ovstm was the sole to increase significantly as the consequence of the 'innate fear'. In parallel, Ov-stm and Ov-dat decreased their expression in the subesophageal mass (i.e. center of motor control) in response to fear conditioning, while no effect appears to be caused by social interaction. Finally, learned and innate fear paradigms induced an increased expression of Ov-stm in the optic lobes (i.e. centers of visual-sensory processing). Instead, Ov-dat and Ov-TH exhibit an opposite pattern in response to fear conditioning and social interaction.

The increased expression of Ov-stm in octopuses subjected to innate and learned fear suggests that in octopus this gene plays a role similar to what is known in vertebrate brain. In mammals, it is known that amygdala enriched stathmin is required for the expression of innate fear and the formation of memory for learned fear [67–69]. Interestingly, Ov-stm undergoes in the octopus to a negative regulation in response to fear conditioning. This suggests that the synaptic architecture may be able to change, and that these changes could be related to variations in microtubule dynamics. This result opens the way to a fascinating working hypothesis that requires further studies to understand the relationship between microtubule dynamics, synapse formation, and plasticity of neurons in the octopus.

Conclusive remark

This review does not aim to provide a detailed description of the most recent results obtained by the application of several learning paradigms for studying the biological and

cellular mechanisms underlying learning in O. vulgaris and other cephalopod species.

As reviewed by Borrelli and Fiorito [6°] and despite the considerable number of studies published on the extent of learning and memory recall, and on the effects of its impairment induced by experimental interference, very little is known about the ability of cephalopods to encode and retrieve information. From the classic works of Young and co-workers, it is known that cuttlefishes and octopuses are capable of short-term and long-term memory. In many cases the memory trace was reported to last for a very long time: in octopus for weeks to months (review in [6**]). A systematic analysis of the memory phases, the time course of retention and memory consolidation, and possible reconsolidation in octopuses and cephalopods still appear insufficient when compared with the knowledge currently available for other taxa. Whether the memory recall observed in cephalopods corresponds to a more phylogenetically conserved consolidation mechanism or to a characteristic of the neural-network is an issue that has to be tested.

We hope to have provided enough elements to facilitate the continuous regrowth of interest for these fascinating highly 'flexible' animals.

Acknowledgements

We hope that our COST Action FA1301 initiative will help our research dreams become true.

Elena Baldascino and Giovanna Ponte are supported by the Flagship Italian Project RITMARE (www.ritmare.it; SZN). Ilaria Zarrella has been supported by MIUR Premiale-2011 project (SZN).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- · of special interest
- of outstanding interest
- Young JZ: A model of the brain. edn 1960. Oxford: Clarendon Press: 1964.
- Young JZ: Paired centres for the control of attack by Octopus. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1964, 159:565-588.
- Young JZ: The organization of a memory system. Proc R Soc
- Lond B Biol Sci 1965. 163:285-320
- 4. Boycott BB: Learning in Octopus vulgaris and other cephalopods. Pubbl Staz Zool Napoli 1954, 25:67-93.
- Borrelli L, Gherardi F, Fiorito G: A catalogue of body patterning in Cephalopoda. In Stazione Zoologica. Edited by Dohrn A. Napoli, Italy: Firenze University Press; 2006.
- Borrelli L, Fiorito G: Behavioral analysis of learning and memory in Cephalopods. In In learning and memory: A Comprehensive 60%-627ce. Edited by Byrne JJ.. Oxford: Academic Press; 2008:
- Maldonado H: The visual attack learning system in Octopus vulgaris. J Theor Biol 1963, 5:470-488.
- Packard A: The behaviour of Octopus vulgaris. Bull Inst Oceanogr (Monaco) Numéro spécial 1963, 1D:35-49.

- Maldonado H: The positive learning process in Octopus vulgaris. Z Vgl Physiol 1963, 47:191-214.
- 10. Maldonado H: The control of attack by Octopus. Z Vgl Physiol 1964 **47**:656-674
- 11. Boycott BB, Young JZ: Memories controlling attacks on food objects by Octopus vulgaris Lamarck. Pubbl Staz Zool Napoli 1955. **27**:232-249.
- 12. Boycott BB, Young JZ: A memory system in Octopus vulgaris Lamarck, Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1955, 143:449-480
- 13. Boycott BB, Young JZ: Reactions to shape in Octopus vulgaris Lamarck. Proc Zool Soc Lond 1956. 126:491-547.
- 14. Clymer JC: A computer simulation model of attack-learning behavior in the octopus. The University of Michigan; 1973: 1-129.
- 15. Myers CE: Delay learning in artificial neural networks, edn Based on the author's thesis (PhD Imperial College, London). London: Chapman & Hall: 1992.
- 16. Bills C. Smith S. Myers N. Schachtman TR: Effects of context exposure during conditioning on conditioned taste aversions. Learn Behav 2003. 31:369-377
- 17. Aristotle: Historia Animalium English translation by D'Arcy Wenthworth Thompson, edn Volume IV. The works of Aristotle translated into English under the Editorship of J.A. Smith, W.D. Ross. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1910.
- Jereb P, Roper CFE, Vecchione M: Introduction. Cephalopods of the World. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of species known to date. Volume 1. Chambered nautiluses and sepioids (Nautilidae, Sepiidae, Sepiolidae, Sepiadariidae, Idiosepiidae and Spirulidae). FAO Species Catalogue for Fishery Purposes. No. 4. Vol.1.. Rome: FAO Fisheries Synopsis; 2005, 1-13.
- 19. Packard A: Cephalopods and fish: the limits of convergence.
- Biol Rev 1972, 47:241-307.
- 20. Kröger B, Vinther J, Fuchs D: Cephalopod origin and evolution: a congruent picture emerging from fossils, development and molecules. Bioessays 2011, 33:602-613.
- 21. Tricarico E, Amodio P, Ponte G, Fiorito G: Cognition and recognition in the cephalopod Mollusc Octopus vulgaris: coordinating interaction with environment and conspecifics. In Biocommunication of Animals. Edited by Dordrecht WG. Springer Science+Business Media; 2014:337-349.
- 22. Huffard CL: Locomotion by Abdopus aculeatus (Cephalopoda: Octopodidae): walking the line between primary and secondary defenses. J Exp Biol 2006, 209:3697-3707.
- 23. Finn JK, Tregenza T, Norman MD: Defensive tool use in a coconut-carrying octopus. Curr Biol 2009, 19:R1069-R1070.
- Huffard CL, Boneka F, Full RJ: Underwater bipedal locomotion by octopuses in disguise. Science 2005, 307:1927.
- 25. Packard A, Hochberg FG: Skin patterning in Octopus and other Genera. Symp Zool Soc Lond 1977, 38:191-231
- 26. Packard A, Sanders G: What the octopus shows to the world. Endeavour 1969, 28:92-99.
- 27. Packard A, Sanders GD: Body patterns of Octopus vulgaris and maturation of the response to disturbance. Anim Behav 1971, **19**:780-790.
- 28. Hochner B, Shomrat T, Fiorito G: The octopus: a model for a comparative analysis of the evolution of learning and memory mechanisms. Biol Bull 2006. 210:308-317.
- 29. Hochner B: An embodied view of octopus neurobiology. Curr Biol 2012, 22:R887-R892.
- 30. Arnold JM, Gilbert DL, Adelman WJ: Squid as experimental animals. New York: Plenum Press; 1990.
- 31. Abbott NJ, Williamson R, Maddock L: Cephalopod neurobiology neuroscience studies in squid octopus and cuttlefish. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.
- 32. Brown ER, Piscopo S: Synaptic plasticity in cephalopods; more
- than just learning and memory? Invert Neurosci 2013, 13:35-44.

- 33. Young JZ: Cephalopods and neuroscience. Biol Bull 1985,
- Albertin CB, Bonnaud L, Brown CT, Crookes-Goodson WJ, da
 Fonseca RR, Di Cristo C, Dilkes BP, Edsinger-Gonzales E, Freeman RM Jr, Hanlon RT, Koenig KM, Lindgren AR, Martindale MQ, Minx P, Moroz LL, Nodl MT, Nyholm SV, Ogura A, Pungor JR, Rosenthal JJ, Schwarz EM, Shigeno S, Strugnell JM, Wollesen T, Zhang G, Ragsdale CW: Cephalopod genomics: a plan of strategies and organization. Standards Genomic Sci. 2012, **7**:175-188.
- 35. Edelman DB, Seth AK: Animal consciousness: a synthetic approach. Trends Neurosci 2009, 32:476-484.
- Calisti M, Giorelli M, Levy G, Mazzolai B, Hochner B, Laschi C, Dario P: An octopus-bioinspired solution to movement and manipulation for soft robots. Bioinspir Biomim 2011, 6:036002
- 37. Kim S, Laschi C, Trimmer B: Soft robotics: a bioinspired evolution in robotics. Trends Biotechnol 2013, 31:23-30.
- 38. Laschi C, Cianchetti M, Mazzolai B, Margheri L, Follador M, Dario P: Soft robot arm inspired by the Octopus. Adv. Robot. 2012. 26:709-727.
- 39. European Commission: Implementation, interpretation and terminology of Directive 2010/63/EU Questions & Answers on the legal understanding - Q&A document. Brussels: European Commission; 2014.
- 40. European Parliament Council of the European Union: Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.. Strasbourg: Council of Europe; 2010.
- 41. Smith JA, Andrews PLR, Hawkins P, Louhimies S, Ponte G. Dickel L: Cephalopod research and EU Directive 2010/63/EU: Requirements, impacts and ethical review. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 2013, 447:31-45.
- 42. Fiorito G, Affuso A, Anderson DB, Basil J, Bonnaud L, Botta G,
 Cole A, D'Angelo L, De Girolamo P, Dennison N, Dickel L, Di Cosmo A, Di Cristo C, Gestal C, Fonseca R, Grasso F, Kristiansen T, Kuba M, Maffucci F, Manciocco A, Mark FC, Melillo D, Osorio D, Palumbo A, Perkins K, Ponte G, Raspa M, Shashar N, Smith J, Smith D, Sykes A, Villanueva R, Tublitz N, Zullo L, Andrews P: Cephalopods in neuroscience: regulations, research and the 3Rs. Invertebrate Neurosci 2014, 14:13-36.
- Andrews PLR: Practical Implications for Cephalopod Researchers in the Implementation of Directive 2010/63/EU. J Shellfish Res 2011. 30:995.
- 44. Andrews PLR, Darmaillacq AS, Dennison N, Gleadall IG, Hawkins P, Messenger JB, Osorio D, Smith VJ, Smith JA: **The** identification and management of pain, suffering and distress in cephalopods, including anesthesia, analgesia and humane killing. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 2013, 447:46-64.
- 45. Andrews PLR: Laboratory invertebrates, only spineless, or spineless and painless? Introduction. ILAR J. 2011, 52:121-125.
- 46. EFSA: Panel on Animal Health and Welfare: Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (AHAW) on a request from the Commission related to the 'Aspects of the biology and welfare of animals used for experimental and other scientific, purposes'. EFSA J 2005, 292:1-136.
- 47. Council of the European Communities: Directive, 86/609/EEC., on the Approximation of, Laws.: Regulations and Administrative Provisions of Member States Regarding the Protection of Animals Used for Experimental and Other Scientific, Purposes., Brussels: Council of the European Communities. 1986.
- 48. Grasso FW, Basil JA: The evolution of flexible behavioral repertoires in cephalopod molluscs. Brain Behav Evol 2009, 74:231-245.
- 49. Huffard CL: Cephalopod neurobiology: an introduction for biologists working in other model systems. Invert Neurosci 2013, **13**:11-18.
- Nixon M, Young JZ: The brains and lives of cephalopods. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

- 51. Borrelli L: Testing the contribution of relative brain size and learning capabilities on the evolution of Octopus vulgaris and other cephalopods. Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Italy & Open University, UK; 2007:: 1-451.
- 52. Sanders GD: The Cephalopods. In Invertebrate Learning, Cephalopods and Echinoderms. Edited by Corning WC, Dyal JA, Willows AOD. New York, N.Y.: Plenum Press; 1975:1-101.
- 53. Moore BR: The evolution of learning. Biol Rev 2004, 79:301-335.
- 54. Sanders GD: The cephalopods. In Invertebrate Learning. Cephalopods and Echinoderms. Edited by Corning WC, Dyal JA, Willows AOD. New York, N.Y.: Plenum Press; 1975:1–101.
- 55. Young JZ: Multiple matrices in the memory system of Octopus. In Cephalopod neurobiology. Edited by Abbott JN, Williamson R, Maddock L. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1995:431-443.
- 56. Hochner B, Shomrat T: The neurophysiological basis of learning and memory in advanced invertebrates. The octopus and the cuttlefish. Invertebrate Learn Memory 2013, 22:303-317.
- Shomrat T, Zarrella I, Fiorito G, Hochner B: The octopus vertical lobe modulates short-term learning rate and uses LTP to acquire long-term memory. Curr Biol 2008, 18:337-342.
- Darmaillacq A-S, Chichery R, Shashar N, Dickel L: Early familiarization overrides innate prey preference in newly hatched Sepia officinalis cuttlefish. Anim Behav 2006, 71:511-514.
- 59. Darmaillacq A-S, Chichery R, Poirier R, Dickel L: Effect of early feeding experience on subsequent prey preference by cuttlefish Sepia officinalis. Dev Psychobiol 2004, 45:239-244.
- 60. Darmaillacq AS, Chichery R, Dickel L: Food imprinting, new evidence from the cuttlefish Sepia officinalis. Biol Letters 2006, 2:345-347
- 61. Fiorito G, Scotto P: Observational learning in Octopus vulgaris. Science 1992, 256:545-547.
- 62. Fiorito G, Chichery R: Lesions of the vertical lobe impair visual discrimination learning by observation in Octopus vulgaris. Neurosci Lett 1995. 192:117-120.
- Amodio P, Fiorito G: Observational and other types of learning in Octopus. In Invertebrate learning and memory. Edited by Menzel , Benjamin P. London: Academic Press; 2013:293-302
- 64. Hvorecny LM, Grudowski JL, Blakeslee CJ, Simmons TL, Roy PR, Brooks JA, Hanner RM, Beigel ME, Karson MA, Nichols RH, Holm JB, Boal JG: Octopuses (Octopus bimaculoides) and cuttlefishes (Sepia pharaonis S. officinalis) can conditionally discriminate. Anim Cogn 2007, 10:449-459.
- 65. Tokuda K, Masuda R, Yamashita Y: Conditional discrimination in Octopus vulgaris. J Ethol 2015, 33:35-40.
- 66. Zarrella I: Testing changes in gene expression profiles for Octopus vulgaris (Mollusca Cephalopoda). Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn (Italy) and Open University (UK); 2011:: 1-292.
- Martel G, Hevi C, Wong A, Zushida K, Uchida S, Shumyatsky GP: Murine GRPR and stathmin control in opposite directions both cued fear extinction and neural activities of the amygdala and prefrontal cortex. PLoS ONE 2012:7.
- 68. Martel G, Nishi A, Shumyatsky GP: Stathmin reveals dissociable roles of the basolateral amygdala in parental and social behaviors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008, 105:14620-14625.
- 69. Uchida S, Martel G, Pavlowsky A, Takizawa S, Hevi C, Watanabe Y, Kandel ER, Alarcon JM, Shumyatsky GP: Learninginduced and stathmin-dependent changes in microtubule stability are critical for memory and disrupted in ageing. Nat Commun 2014, 5 article 4389.
- 70. Young JZ: Computation in the learning system of cephalopods. Biol Bull 1991, 180:200-208.
- 71. Mather JA: Cephalopod consciousness: behavioural evidence. Conscious Cogn 2007:1-12 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.concog.2006.11.006.

- 72. Tricarico E, Borrelli L, Gherardi F, Fiorito G: I know my neighbour: individual recognition in Octopus vulgaris. PLoS ONE 2011,
- 73. Zullo L: Functional organisation of the sensory-motor areas in the SNC of Octopus vulgaris. Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II. Facoltà di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Naturali; 2004:: 1-78.
- Zullo L, Sumbre G, Agnisola C, Flash T, Hochner B: Nonsomatotopic Organization of the Higher Motor Centers in Octopus. Curr Biol 2009, 19:1632-1636.
- 75. Zullo L, Hochner B: A new perspective on the organization of an invertebrate brain. Commun Integr Biol 2011, 4:26-29.
- 76. Kocot KM, Cannon JT, Todt C, Citarella MR, Kohn AB, Meyer A, Santos SR, Schander C, Moroz LL, Lieb B, Halanych KM:

- Phylogenomics reveals deep molluscan relationships. Nature 2011, 477:452-456.
- 77. Zhang X, Mao Y, Huang ZX, Qu M, Chen J, Ding SX, Hong JN, Sun TT: Transcriptome analysis of the Octopus vulgaris central nervous system. PLoS ONE 2012:7.
- 78. Smith SA, Wilson NG, Goetz FE, Feehery C, Andrade SC, Rouse GW, Giribet G, Dunn CW: Resolving the evolutionary relationships of molluscs with phylogenomic tools. Nature 2011, 480:364-367.
- 79. Amodio P, Andrews PLR, Salemme M, Ponte G, Fiorito G: The use of artificial crabs for testing predatory behavior and health in the octopus. Altex-Alternatives to Animal Experimentation 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.14573/altex.1401282:1-12.
- 80. Hanlon RT, Messenger JB: Cephalopod Behaviour. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996.